LAW OFFICES OF

# McGINN & GIBB, PLLC

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200
VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817
TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100
FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; 761-2376
E-MAIL: MCGINNGIBB @ AOL.COM

**RECEIVED**
**CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

MAY 3 1 2005

SEAN M. MCGINN
PHILLIP E. MILLER†
FREDERICK E. COOPERRIDER†
JAMES E. HOWARD†
JAMES N. DRESSER
JOHN J. DRESCH
SCOTT M. TULINO
J. BRADLEY WRIGHT†
    †MEMBER OF BAR OTHER THAN VA

ANNAPOLIS, MD OFFICE
FREDERICK W. GIBB, III
MOHAMMAD S. RAHMAN†
PAMELA M. RILEY†

May 31, 2005

**VIA FACSIMILE**

To:     Examiner Rodney H. Bonck          Facsimile No.: (703) 872-9306
        Group Art Unit No. 3681
        U.S.P.T.O.

From:   James E. Howard                   Facsimile No.: (703) 761-2375 or 76

Re:     Enclosed Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.116
        U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/630,981
        Our Reference: NGB.280

Dear Examiner Bonck:

Enclosed is an Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.116, which is responsive to the March 2, 2005, Office Action, which should place the above-referenced case in condition for allowance.

Thank you in advance for your consideration on this case.

Very truly yours,

James E. Howard

JEH/kks
Enclosure

Total No. of Pages Transmitted: 10

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**In re Application of**

Yoshihisa Miura

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Serial No.:** | 10/630,981 | **Group Art Unit:** | 3681 |
| **Filed:** | July 31, 2003 | **Examiner:** | Rodney H. Bonck |

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

**MAY 3 1 2005**

**For:** ONE-WAY CLUTCH

Honorable Commissioner of Patents
Box AF
Alexandria, VA 22313 - 1450

## AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated March 2, 2005, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

## INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Claims 1-7, and 9-17 are all the claims presently pending in the application. The specification and claims 6 and 12 are amended to more clearly define the invention and claim 8 is canceled. Claims 1 and 3 are independent.